IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

The State of Texas, et al.,		
	Plaintiffs,	Case No. 4:20-cv-00957-SDJ
v.		Hon. Sean D. Jordan
Google LLC,		Special Master: David T. Moran
	Defendants.	

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF SCHEDULING ORDER

Plaintiff State of Texas respectfully submits this supplement in support of the Plaintiff States' Motion for Modification of Scheduling Order (ECF No. 432)—to provide the Court with an update on relevant events occurring after the filing of the Motion on Friday, April 26, 2024.

In the later hours of Friday night after the States filed their Motion, Defendant Google LLC ("Google") emailed the States to try to schedule three additional noticed Rule 30(b)(1) witnesses for Friday, May 3, 2024. *See* Exs. 1, 2. Those depositions would bring the total potential depositions for May 3, 2024 to *thirteen total depositions*: six Rule 30(b)(1) and 30(b)(6) depositions of Google witnesses, four depositions of third-parties, and three depositions of the States.¹ At least *eight*² potential depositions may occur the day before on May 2, 2024, and at

These 13 deponents are: Google witnesses , third parties Nike, Florida Dept. of Environmental Protection, Texas Department of Motor Vehicles, and Eileen Fisher; and the States of Idaho, Louisiana, and Alaska.

These 8 deponents are: Google witnesses , and , and (not including who Google is trying to schedule for either May 2 or 3); third parties Meta, American Express, and Missouri Dept. of Transportation; and the State of North Dakota.

least *eight*³ potential depositions on May 1, 2024.⁴ The Parties also have a hearing with the Special Master on Thursday, May 2, 2024.

To be clear, the States have confirmed and will take or attend all eight depositions on May 1, seven depositions on May 2,⁵ and nine depositions on May 3⁶—totaling twenty-four depositions in the last three days of the fact discovery period, in addition to those scheduled on Monday and Tuesday of that week. As they presented in their Motion and they have requested of Google, the States believe that the remaining discrete number of limited and specific depositions can be taken in the proposed three-week period following the May 3 close of fact discovery. *See* Ex. 3.

The States believe these depositions are important and should be taken. But—given their importance to the case, the modest three-week modification of the case schedule requested by the States, and the lack of prejudice to Google—there is no reason to try to jam so many depositions—nearly thirty—into the last three days of fact discovery in this case.

For the reasons shown in the States' Motion and also here, the States respectfully ask that the Court grant their requested modification of the Scheduling Order.

³ These 8 deponents are: Google witnesses and and their notice of third parties Missouri Division of Tourism, Nevada Dept. of Motor Vehicles, Texas A&M University, and Arkansas Department of Parks, Heritage, and Tourism; and the States of Montana and Arkansas.

⁴ Yet Google has still not offered deposition dates for four noticed fact witnesses (Sergey Brin,

Yet Google has still not offered deposition dates for four noticed fact witnesses (Sergey Brin, Sundar Pichai, and and 30(b)(6) witnesses on the outstanding topics, including the chat-related topics. Should Google try to offer those witnesses for deposition this upcoming week, the total number of potential depositions for these dates would grow.

⁵ This includes all depositions, except for . See supra n. 2.

⁶ This includes all depositions, except for and Neal Mohan. *See supra* n. 1.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ W. Mark Lanier

W. Mark Lanier

Mark.Lanier@LanierLawFirm.com

Alex J. Brown

Alex.Brown@LanierLawFirm.com

Zeke DeRose III

Zeke.DeRose@LanierLawFirm.com

Jonathan P. Wilkerson

Jonathan.Wilkerson@LanierLawFirm.com

 $10940~\mathrm{W}.~\mathrm{Sam}~\mathrm{Houston}~\mathrm{Pkwy}~\mathrm{N}$

Suite 100

Houston, TX 77064

(713) 659-5200

THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC

/s/ Ashley Keller

Ashley Keller

ack@kellerpostman.com

150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 4100

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 741-5220

Zina Bash

zina.bash@kellerpostman.com

111 Congress Avenue, Suite 500

Austin, TX 78701

(512) 690-0990

Noah S. Heinz

noah.heinz@kellerpostman.com

1101 Connecticut, N.W., 11th Floor

Washington, DC 20005

(202) 918-1123

KELLER POSTMAN LLC

Counsel for Texas, Idaho, Louisiana (The Lanier Law Firm only), Mississippi, North Dakota, Indiana, South Carolina, and South Dakota

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP

Joseph M. Graham, Jr. joseph.graham@nortonrosefulbright.com Geraldine Young geraldine.young@nortonrosefulbright.com 1301 McKinney, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas 77010 (713) 651-5151

Marc B. Collier
Marc.Collier@nortonrosefulbright.com
98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1100
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 474-5201

FOR PLAINTIFF STATE OF TEXAS:

KEN PAXTON Attorney General

/s/ Trevor E. D. Young

Brent Webster, First Assistant Attorney General of Texas <u>Brent.Webster@oag.texas.gov</u>

James R. Lloyd, Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation James.Lloyd@oag.texas.gov

Trevor Young, Deputy Chief, Antitrust Division

Trevor.Young@oag.texas.gov

STATE OF TEXAS, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548 (512) 936-1674

Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Texas

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE AND SEALING

I certify that, on April 28, 2024, this document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a) and served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service, per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

I also certify that, on April 28, 2024, a motion to seal the foregoing document and its exhibits was filed separately and before the filing of this document under seal, per Local Rule CV-5(a)(7)(B). This sealed filing will be promptly served by email with a secure link on the Special Master and counsel of record for all parties in this case, and will be publicly filed in redacted form, per Local Rule CV-5(a)(7)(E).

/s/ Geraldine Young
Geraldine Young